Level 1 Screening Results
Wadsworth Conceptual Designs (Wadsworth from Highland to 14th Avenues)

| | | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Category | Screening Criteria | No Action (4 lane + No Median+ Minimal Sidewalks) | Intelligent Transportation System Strategies Only* | Intersection Improvements + Median | 4 Lane + Median + Sidewalks | 5 Lane + Median + without Sidewalks | 5 Lane + Median + Sidewalks | 6 Lane + Median + without Sidewalks | 6 Lane + No Median + Sidewalks | 6 Lane + Median + Sidewalks | 6 Lane + Two Way Left Turn + Sidewalks | 6 Lane Transit (4 Travel + 2 Dedicated Transit) | 8 Lane Transit (6 Travel + 2 Dedicated Transit) |
| Safety/Design | Is the alternative feasible from an engineering perspective? | N/A | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| | Does the alternative decrease access conflicts? | NO | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | YES |
| | Can this alternative accommodate safer bicycle and pedestrian travel along and across Wadsworth? | NO | NO | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Mobility/Traffic Operations | Can the alternative meet current and future traffic needs? | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | NO | YES |
| Local Impacts | Does the alternative provide a means to access residences and businesses along the corridor? | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Environmental Impacts | Can environmental impacts be reasonably mitigated? Primary environmental impacts considered during Level 1 Screening include right-of-way, noise, water quality, and Section 4(f). | N/A | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO |
| Cost Feasibility | Can the alternative be constructed within 150 percent of estimated costs (i.e., less than \$30 million [in 2010 dollars])? Costs include the capital construction and right of way. | N/A | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO |
| | Is the alternative compatible with established local plans and visions? | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | YES | NO | NO | NO |
| Implementation | Is the alternative compatible with RTD LRT plans? | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| SUMMARY OF RESULTS | | Carried Forward: Baseline Comparison | Eliminated: infrastructure deficiencies | Eliminated: infrastructure deficiencies | Eliminated: traffic | Eliminated: traffic, pedestrians/ bicyclists | Eliminated: traffic | Eliminated: pedestrians/ bicyclists | Eliminated: access conflicts, traffic | Carried Forward: Level 2 Evaluation | Eliminated: traffic | Eliminated: traffic; does not meet purpose and need | Eliminated: ROW and land use impacts; cost; does not meet purpose and need |

^{*} Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) (also referred to as Intelligent Traffic Systems, Travel Demand Management, and Transportation Systems Management) apply communications and information technology to provide solutions to congestion and other traffic control issues. ITS include such techniques as providing real-time information about traffic conditions, coordinating traffic signals, and operating reverse direction lanes to accommodate commuter traffic. Specific ITS strategies being considered for this project include ramp metering, arterial variable messaging system or VMS, closed caption television to support corridor surveillance and VMS, and system detection/incident timing. These strategies were included in the screening for the other alternatives but inclusion of ITS did not influence the screening results. Analysis of ITS will be included in the Level 2 evaluation for Conceptual Design #8, which has been forwarded for further evaluation.

Level 1 Screening Results
US 6 and Wadsworth Interchange Conceptual Designs (including Wadsworth from 4th to Highland Avenues)

| | Level 1 Screening Criteria | | | I | | | | Ι | Ī | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Category | | NA No Action | A Traditional | B Tight Diamond | C Tight Diamond | D Single Point | E Partial Cloverleaf | F Partial Cloverleaf | G Full Cloverleaf | H Diverging Diamond |
| | | NO ACTION | Diamond | right Diamond | w/Loop | Urban Interchange | Fartial Gloverleal | w/Directional Ramp | with Collector/ Distributor Roads | Diverging Diamond |
| | | Full Cloverleaf | \rightarrow | - | | | | | | |
| Safety/Design | Is the alternative feasible from an engineering perspective? | N/A | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| | Can this alternative accommodate safer bicycle and pedestrian travel through the interchange? | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO | YES |
| | Does the alternative improve weaving/merge conditions? | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Mobility/Traffic Operations | Can the alternative meet current and future traffic needs? | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| | Does the alternative address the interaction of the Wadsworth interchange and Carr/Garrison Street ramps? | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Local Impacts | Does the alternative provide a means to access residences and businesses along the corridor? | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Environmental Impacts | Can environmental impacts be reasonably mitigated? Environmental impacts considered during Level 1 Screening include right-of-way, noise, water quality, and Section 4(f). | N/A | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO | NO | NO |
| Cost Feasibility | Can the alternative be constructed within 150 percent of estimated costs (i.e., less than \$67.5 million [in 2010 dollars])? Costs include the capital construction and right of way. | N/A | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO | NO | YES |
| Implementation | Is the alternative compatible with established local plans and visions? | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO | NO |
| SUMMARY OF RESULTS | | Carried Forward: Baseline Comparison | Eliminated: ROW impacts | Carried Forward: Level 2 Evaluation | Carried Forward: Level 2 Evaluation | Carried Forward: Level 2 Evaluation | Carried Forward: Level 2 Evaluation | Eliminated: ROW impacts, noise, and cost | Eliminated: ROW impacts; bicyclist and pedestrian conflicts | Eliminated: ROW impacts, reduced travel speed, driver expectations |